

## APPENDIX E - CONSULTATION SUMMARY SHEET FOR PROGRAMMATIC ASSESSMENT

### Instructions

For all projects and actions reviewed and analyzed using the wildlife screen process, the consultation summary sheets in Appendix E of the biological assessment must be filled out by Project Biologists and submitted to Forest Biologists for review and submission to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service semi-annually for review. Also, the Level 1 team will annually select a number of projects at random and review the use of the screens and documentation.

Page <u>1</u> of <u>    </u>					
Administrative Unit: <u>Nez Perce-Clearwater NF</u>					
Contact: <u>Jim Lutes</u> : <i>Project Biologist</i> Reviewed by: <u>Jim Lutes</u> : <i>Forest Biologist</i>					
Date: <u>October 17, 2017</u>					
Project Name and Description	Species	Effects of Action	Cumulative Effects (ESA)	How Does the Project Meet Screening Criteria?	Determination of Effects
<b>Big Creek Hazard Tree Removal Red River Ranger District</b>  The purpose and need is to remove the hazard trees from the area. There are many standing snags in the area as a	<b>Grizzly Bear</b>	Grizzly bears do not occupy the project area. No impacts to grizzly habitat are anticipated as a result of project activities.	None	Grizzly bears do not occupy the project area so the Screening Criteria are not applicable.	NE

<p>result of the McGuire fire (2012) which pose hazards to FS and private travelers of the 311 road. Logging would take place within 150 feet of the roadway. No temp roads would be needed. Landing would be created along the road. No logging would occur in riparian areas, and only dead standing trees would be cut. Project is approximately 181 acres.</p>	<p><b>Canada Lynx</b></p>	<p>Much of the area of the McGuire Fire (2012), through which the proposed project runs, experienced high basal mortality (<math>\geq 75\%</math>) based on RAVG data. As a result LAU 2071002 currently exceeds the 30% VEG-S1 Standard identified within the NRLMD. However, aerial photo interpretation (2015 NAIP/Google Earth) indicates that the burned area has not yet recovered to the point that it would represent suitable winter snowshoe hare habitat. Therefore the hazard tree removal project would not be converting additional habitat to the stand initiation structural stage.</p>	<p>None</p>	<p>Projects of this type have been considered within the Programmatic Biological Assessment as a Hazard Tree Component under Roads and Road Maintenance. This activity is applicable only if hazard tree removal does not result in a reduction of snowshoe hare habitat.</p>	<p>NE</p>
	<p><b>Canada Lynx Critical Habitat</b></p>	<p>There is no designated Critical Lynx Habitat within the Nez Perce-Clearwater NF boundary.</p>	<p>None</p>	<p>There is no designated Critical Lynx Habitat within the Nez Perce-Clearwater NF boundary.</p>	<p>NE</p>